This document is intended to give background information on the ecotoxicological risk assessment for plant protection products, active ingredients and metabolites currently considered necessary for national authorisation of plant protection products (PPP) in Austria. The approaches for risk assessments for non-target soil organisms are shortly described hereafter. Recommendations for notifier/applicants regarding data requirements, risk assessments and risk mitigation measures are presented for especially those cases where the respective guidance document gives room for interpretation.


For the draft registration report a new template is available. This template has to be used for Core Assessments submitted as from 01.01.2016 except for product applications according to Art. 43 related to AIRII substances. The template can be downloaded from the website of the European Commission.

5 Effects on earthworms and other soil non-target macro organisms

5.1 Background

The risk assessment for earthworms and other soil non-target macro-organisms has to be conducted according to the EC terrestrial guidance document (SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 final).

According to the currently valid data requirements (Commission Regulation (EU) No 283/2013 and (EU) No 284/2013 of 1 March 2013), sublethal testing of earthworms is required.

For plant protection products applied as a foliar spray, data on the relevant two non-target arthropod species might be taken into account for a preliminary risk assessment. If effects do occur on either species, testing on Folsomia candida and Hypoaspis aculeifer shall be required.

For plant protection products applied as soil treatments directly to soil either as a spray or as a solid formulation, testing shall be required on both Folsomia candida and Hypoaspis aculeifer.

The exposure is represented by the initial predicted in-field concentration of the substance in soil (PEC_{soil}). In case of repeated applications, the PEC after the last application is relevant. In case of persistent substances the plateau concentration is relevant.
5.3 Predicted environmental concentration in the soil (PEC₃)

For detailed information about calculating predicted environmental concentration in the soil please refer to eFate National Exposure Assessment Requirements.

At EU level the soil exposure assessment for active substances is currently based on the outcome of the soil modelling work group of FOCUS (FORum for the Co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their Use) (FOCUS, 1997). In short, PEC values in soil for parent and metabolites are usually based on simple spreadsheet calculations assuming uniform distribution in the soil (uppermost 5 cm) with a soil density of 1.5 kg/L. No processes other than degradation/dissipation (DT₅₀) are accounted for.

5.4 Choice of ecotoxicological endpoint

Standard laboratory testing with earthworms and other soil non-target organisms in general provides a dose-response relationship and an EC₁₀, EC₂₀ and NOEC. Endpoints derived for the active substance are established in the List of Endpoints (LoEP). Testing with the formulation incorporated into soil is generally required.

According to the Technical Report on the outcome of the pesticides peer review meeting on general recurring issues in ecotoxicology (EFSA Supporting publication 2015:EN-924), the endpoint should be divided by a factor of 2 if the log Pₐw of the substance is > 2. This approach results from the assumption that that bioavailability for soil organisms of lipophilic substances could be reduced in order of different soil characteristics.

For higher tier or refined studies, it was considered potentially feasible to perform a range of studies with various amounts of organic material to demonstrate that the toxicity is independent of the organic material content of the soil.

5.5 Higher tier options

For higher tier testing soil organisms field study can be conducted. The study is required where TERₙₙ is < 5. The study should reflect the use of the compound, the environmental conditions and species that will be exposed. If the chemical is to be applied in the arable situation it should preferably be applied to bare soil as opposed to grassland where it may become bound to the surface thatch. Analysis of the soil would assist in confirming whether the field study is appropriate for the intended arable crop use. With regard to the dosage the test should be designed in order to cover the highest exposure according to the intended use of the product. That means that multiple applications should be made where relevant, and crop interception should be considered. If accumulation in soil is expected then a rate equivalent to the long-term (plurennial) plateau concentration should be added. The type of application of the test substance (surface application, incorporation, etc.) should be according to the intended use.

A method is described by ISO (11268-3:1999). For further information see also Greig-Smith et al. (1992)¹ and Sheppard et al. (1997)².

5.6 National risk assessment

The national risk assessment is completely in line with the current EU approach. However, some member states deal different with different situations. Therefore the Austrian decisions are presented in the following:

---

- Formulation toxicity expressed in terms of active substance content should be compared with the PEC_{soil} for the active substances.

### 5.7 Risk mitigation

Risk mitigation options for earthworms and other soil macro-organisms are limited. Reduction of the application rate is possible.
6 Effects on soil microbial activity

6.1 Background

The risk assessment for soil micro-organisms has to be conducted according to the EC terrestrial guidance document (SANCO/10329/2002 rev 2 final).

According to the currently valid data requirements (Commission Regulation (EU) No 283/2013 and (EU) No 284/2013 of 1 March 2013), soil nitrogen transformation testing is required.

The ecotoxicological endpoint is directly compared with the predicted exposure concentration for soil (PECs).

6.2 Predicted environmental concentration in the soil (PEC$_S$)

For detailed information about calculating predicted environmental concentration in the soil please refer to eFate National Exposure Assessment Requirements.

At EU level the soil exposure assessment for active substances is currently based on the outcome of the soil modelling work group of FOCUS (FOrum for the Co-ordination of pesticide fate models and their Use) (FOCUS, 1997). In short, PEC values in soil for parent and metabolites are usually based on simple spread sheet calculations assuming uniform distribution in the soil (uppermost 5 cm) with a soil density of 1.5 kg/L. No processes other than degradation/dissipation ($DT_{50}$) are accounted for.

6.3 Choice of ecotoxicological endpoint

Endpoints for the active substance and their metabolites are listed in the List of End Points (LoEP) of an active substance. The values from LoEP provide the basis for the risk assessment however in general testing with the formulation is required.

The decisive parameter is the magnitude of effect compared to the untreated control, no matter if it is an increase or a decrease of activity, and the time-course of recovery. The critical level is set at ±25% effect after 100 days.

The test concentrations have to be compared directly with the predicted exposure concentration for soil (PECs).

6.4 National risk assessment

The national risk assessment is in line with the current EU approach.

6.5 Risk mitigation measures

Risk mitigation options for soil micro-organisms are limited. Adaptation of the GAP (e.g. number of applications, interval between applications, time of application (interception)) is possible or restriction on glasshouse use only.
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